

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/682,522	10/682,522 10/08/2003 Steven Allen Hellmann		18830 2945	
23556	7590 05/26/2005	EXAMINER		
	-CLARK WORLDW	DURAND, PAUL R		
401 NORTH LAKE STREET NEENAH, WI 54956		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3721		
			DATE MAILED: 05/26/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	ሱ	J	<b>\</b>	<b>√</b>	

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/682,522		HELLMANN ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Paul Durand		3721	

Advisory Action	10/682,522 HELLMANN ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Durand	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 4/14/05 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two months of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) se filed within the time period set fo	), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. orth in 37 CFR 41 37(a)				
AMENDMENTS	the fined within the time period certific	in an arrange in a real factor of the second				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
(a)⊠ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: the addition of the limitation to include the inclination of the metering drum, which allows the articles to move downstream requires further search and consideration. In addition, the independent claims also seem to incorporate the subject matter of claim 21, which the examiner asserts does not further place the claim in condition for allowance as it would be rejected under Shirodera. The examiner disagrees with the applicant's arguments and asserts that the rejection of the claims based on the Clay, Olson, Shirodera and Jones is proper in that it anticipates the elements of the applicant's claim, and solves a particular problem that the applicant is trying to overcome. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendment canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:	(					

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 05232005

## THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK